## THE GRANITE BAY AZETTE

GRANITE BAY HIGH SCHOOL 1 GRIZZLY WAY GRANITE BAY, CA 95746

Editors-in-Chief: Shannon Carroll Kyle Pawlak Jessica Reese Rachael Vasquez

News/Web Editors: Nicole Bales Chris Pei

Voices Editors: Lena Eyen Haley Massara

Lifestyle Editors: Danielle Dieterich Kelsey Knorp ShinEui Park

Green Screen Editors:
Ari Black
Hayley McAvoy
Michaela Monaghan
Sam Spargo

Sports Editors: Meghan Carlsen Austin Downs Mat Fukuhara

Illustrators: Lena Eyen Chase Evans Hayley McAvoy Jessica Reese Sam Spargo

Photographers: Riley Mac Milian Dorien Johnk Olivia Cornell

Staff Writers:
Crosby Allison
Olivia Cornell
Dorien Johnk
Sydney Kahmann
Brooklyn Klepl
Riley Mac Millan
Becky Massil
Joey Puhala
Kristin Taylor
Brad Wong

Advertising Managers: Mary Haeny Brooklyn Klepl

> Adviser: Karl Grubaugh

The Gazette is published eight times per academic year by students in the advanced journalism class at Granite Bay High School.

Content is determined by the staff and does not necessarily reflect the opinions or policies of Granite Bay High School's faculty, administration, adviser or student body.

Students are protected in their exercise of press freedom by the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and California Education Code 48907.

Signed editorials and columns

reflect the views of the writer.

Letters to the editor and guest commentaries are encouraged and must be signed, although anonymity can be granted on a case-bycase basis.

The editorial board reviews letters to the editor, advertising and guest commentaries and reserves the right to edit and refuse material. Reasons can include length, clarity, libel, obscenity, material disruption of the educational process at Granite Bay High School or violation of copyright laws.





Send Letters to the Editor to: gbgazette@yahoo.com

Identification Statement
GRANITE BAY GAZETTE
PUBLISHED EIGHT TIMES PER
ACADEMIC YEAR
c/o Granite Bay High School
1 Grizzly Way
Granite Bay, CA 95746
Subscriptions: \$25 per year/
\$15 per half year

Behold, the rare Interderepublicrat!

If we put an end to party warfare, our government will work for us

The U.S. political system has gone bananas. Politicians are so concerned with getting themselves and their parties elected that they aren't doing the right thing for our country. People have been talking about the problem for a long time, but no one has come up with a solution.

Until now.
We can get rid of the
divisiveness between Democrats
and Republicans if we get rid of
the parties. Let's go to a one-party
system. I say we all register as
Inderepublicants.

Republicans are being obstructionist because they are so focused on defeating Obama. Democrats have been just as bad in other circumstances.

Look at the recent debt-ceiling crisis. Something needed to be done to prevent our country from defaulting on our loans, but the Republicans focused on how they could use the crisis to make Pres. Obama look weak.

They purposely let the problem drag on for weeks, even though they caused great concern among the countries and investor groups that lend money to the U.S. government. All that concern may well mean that the U.S. has to pay higher interest rates on its debt in the future.

That would be quite the irony: The Republicans claimed to want to cut government spending, but they may make the government spend more, while providing no additional benefit for citizens.

Recently, Pres. Obama sent out an email to supporters with the subject line, "Frustrated." Obama asked for support for his plan to create jobs. But Republicans in Congress don't want jobs to be created before the elections in November 2012. The country craves jobs, but creating them would make the president look good. So Republicans don't want to cooperate.

Right now, this Congress is on

the least productive Congress ever. The Republicans

Republicans justify their actions by saying that Pres. Obama is

a disaster,

and anything that helps remove him from office is justified. But the Republicans didn't do such a great job the last time they held the presidency – anyone remember George Bush and his war in Iraq, the way he turned budget surpluses into deficits, the financial crisis that he left behind?

So I'm not willing to put blind faith in the Republicans. I say we put off the fight about who should be the next president. Let's focus on what's right for the country

The problem is that the political parties can't and won't do that. They exist to get people elected.



Shannon carroll scarroll.gazette@gmail.com

So parties won't pass a law or do anything else if it provides even a tiny advantage for the opposition – even if the advantage is what's right for the country.

The process for nominating presidential candidates makes the problem worse. At the moment, all the Republican candidates for president are trying to show just how conservative they are, so they compete to show how much they disdain government. Democrats getting ready for next year's elections are competing to show how democratic they are, so they argue that government is

the solution to everything.

Once the primaries are over, all the candidates will try to sound more moderate so they can appeal to the majority of Americans who are not on the political fringe, but the damage will be done. Candidates will be stuck

We need to stand

our party is telling

up for what is

right, not what

us to do.

with many of the extreme positions they laid out in the primaries. They have been raising a lot of money based on those positions, and they can't just walk away

especially if they ever want to raise money from those people again.

That's why the solution is for everyone to be Inderepublicrats. If the parties fade away, then no one has to show how Republican or Democratic they are.

We could still have the two (or more) most popular candidates run against each other in a general election, but they'd all be Inderepublicrats, so no one would be arguing for one party over another. They'd just be arguing about who is best-qualified for the position

We need to stand up for what is right, not what our party is telling

us to do. I'm not suggesting a oneparty system like Fidel Castro has in Cuba, where one person dictates everything. I'm talking about a free-for-all where the best ideas and the best people win.

Ever heard the line "United we stand, divided we fall?" That's what's happening in American politics today: We're divided, so we're falling. We pick on our own president, making him seem weak at a time when we need him to be strong. Our inability to get anything done makes us the laughingstock of the world.

Sure, people will always have a difference of opinion, but if we're so patriotic, why is it OK to always try to stymie and embarrass the leader of our country, the one who was elected by the people, for the people?

It's actually really funny to watch the campaign trail for president. Except for Herman Cain, the Republican candidates are seasoned politicians. However, all they do is denounce Obama's policies, rarely offering a solution of their own.

Republicans often say they look to our Founding Fathers for advice. They might want to read George Washington's warning that political factions that seek to obstruct the execution of laws may claim to be following popular demand, when in reality their goal is to take power from the people

and place it in the hands of men who do not deserve it.

Everyone in Washington should read the letter Thomas Jefferson wrote to John Dickinson in 1801, in which he said: "The greatest good we can do our country is to heal its party divisions and make them one people."

People who

register as either

a Democrat or a

Republican often

the other side's

ideas.

refuse to consider

Jefferson alluded to the blind faith that develops within people of a certain party. People who register as either a Democrat or a Republican often refuse to consider the other side's ideas. "The

Gazette illustration/JESSICA REESE

Democrats are evil socialists," the Republicans might say. "The Republicans are looking out for the wealthy and want no government at all," the Democrats might respond.

Politics have become like the Super Bowl, where there can be only one winner. In reality, all the contestants in politics should be working together so that all of us win.

The only real downside to a nation that works together is

that Jon Stewart would have less material for "The Daily Show" – and I love Jon Stewart.

But the upside would be even greater. Divisive forces like Glenn Beck and Keith Olbermann would have to get real jobs. And we'd stop obsessing over whether Sarah

Palin will
compete for
the Republican
presidential
nomination,
because there
would be no
Republican
nomination
and she'd stand
no chance in
the debate
about her
qualifications —

or lack thereof.
A nation of Inderepublicrats
would focus on the good of all,
not just the good of the party. So,
as many of us get ready to vote
for the first time in next year's
elections, let's please not register
as Republicans or Democrats.
Let's register as Independents,
an affiliation that will have to
do for now as long as the term
"Inderepublicrat" isn't yet official.

Shannon Carroll, a senior, is a Gazette co-editor-in-chief.

## We can never forget what we can't remember

t can be very isolating to miss out on the most momentous event of your own generation, but that's the awkward reality for most GBHS underclassmen.

As we reflect on the tenth anniversary of September 11, we find we have nothing but fragmented memories of the attacks themselves. Our concept of the tragedy is much more indirect, if just as important.

We think not of planes and fireballs, but of tearful parents, George W. Bush's retaliatory speech, and, most of all, a looming, national uncertainty about the future of our safety. So, though we weren't

necessarily witnesses to the attacks, to say that September 11 didn't affect us would be a terrible fallacy.

Ten years later, politicians still mention that date at the drop of a hat, to tap into the powerful emotion we connect with it.

Most of us can barely remember a time before fullbody scans, sorting liquids into a Zip-Lock, and taking off your shoes and belt before traveling.

The alternately somber and celebratory uproar caused by the death of Osama bin Laden shows that the wounds our country suffered 10 years ago have yet to fully heal – and they probably never will.

EDITORIAL
The voice of the
Granite Bay
Gazette

Undoubtedly, the September 11 attacks changed our lives forever.

Yet when people ask us what we remember about that day, we often struggle with what to say, and that can make a day of national mourning all the more uncomfortable.

It's difficult to not seem disrespectful, but at the same time, we can't wax poetic about the details of a tragedy that we frankly don't remember.

We are put in the uppleasant

We are put in the unpleasant situation of having to find something meaningful to say about our vague, distant concept of September 11, or else risk seeming cavalier.

were terribly upsetting to those involved, and to the country as a whole. But people old enough to truly remember the attacks shouldn't expect us to force ourselves into mourning every year

So long as we understand the scope of the 9/11, and show compassion to people we know personally who lost friends or family members that day, we

shouldn't need to exaggerate our sorrow

Instead of dwelling on the tragedy of the attacks, we should simply go about our lives, all the more thankful for our security.

We needn't rewatch news coverage of the Twin Towers going down, or spend all day in a forced state of solemnity to prove our patriotism – we merely need to understand the disaster, acknowledge which threats are still present today, and focus on the political issues of the moment (our ineffective political

parties, for instance).
So long as we aren't disrespectful, there is no need to rehash our grief. The best way to honor the victims of 9/11 is to not let their memory hinder us.